



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,844		04/12/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2288	2849
31780	7590	01/15/2003			• •
ERIC ROE	INSON		EXAMINER		
PMB 955 21010 SOU	THBAN	K ST.	TRAN, MINH LOAN		
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2826	5 4
•				DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Q,i			
	09/832,844	YAMAZAKI, SHUN	NPEI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minhloan T. Tran	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however pply within the statutory minimu d will apply and will expire SIX ate, cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 October 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-fina					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			e merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in a	. •	1.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	=xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			_			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage -			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (PToher:				



Application/Control Number: 09/832,844

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements filed 3/15/2002, 5/10/2002, 5/29/2002, 10/24/2002 have been considered.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-30 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of copending Application No. 09/448,463 and as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 19-30 of copending Application No. 09/448,756. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both of the inventions disclose a driver circuit for driving the active matrix circuit wherein the driver circuit and active matrix circuit comprising a plurality of thin film transistors of the same conductivity type. Application No. 09/448,463 and Application No 09/448,756 do not recite a plurality of pixels defined by the first lines and the second lines and a plurality of pixel



Application/Control Number: 09/832,844

Art Unit: 2826

electrodes provided at the pixels. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the active matrix display device having a plurality of pixels defined by the first lines and the second lines and a plurality of pixel electrodes provided at the pixels, because such structure is conventional in the art for forming the liquid crystal display device.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's claims 1-30 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of copending Application No. 09/448,463 and as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 19-30 of copending Application No. 09/448,756, because the Terminal Disclaimer has not been submitted.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/832,844

Art Unit: 2826

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minhloan T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MIt 01/2003 Minhloan T. Tran **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2826